



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/682,230	08/08/2001	Ruth E. Rosenholtz	110269	9875
27074	7590	08/25/2004	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC. P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ZHOU, TING	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/682,230		ROSENHOLTZ ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Ting Zhou		2173	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Ting Zhou. (3) Kentaro Higuchi.
 (2) Klifton Kime. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 August 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-28.

Identification of prior art discussed: 5,751,287 and 6,405,192.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)


THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

T.Z.
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner discussed the general functionality of the invention and the limitations of the independent claims 1, 4 and 9 with the applicant's representatives. The applicant's representatives presented their arguments on how the prior art of record differed from the limitations. The examiner suggested that further expansion and decription on the limitaion of the "enhanced thumbnail associated with the original documentl" in claim 9 might help the claim overcome the prior art of record. However, further search and consideration on the discussed claims would be needed.



CAO (KEVIN) NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

BEST AVAILABLE COPY